

Popular Article

Published on: 23.08.2024

Uncovering The Frequency of Canine Mammary Tumor Prevalence in Veterinary Clinical Complex- A Simple Random Sampling Method

Nolan Dias¹, Pura Yaro Semy¹, Sahil Sudhir Gimhavanekar¹, Vidhi Barche¹, Asit Jain², M. S. Thakur², Randhir Singh¹, Babita Das¹

¹ Department of Veterinary Surgery and Radiology ² Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding College of Veterinary Science And A.H., Jabalapur DOI: 10.5281/Vettoday.13370042

Abstract: Simple random sampling is a widely used sampling technique in quantitative research employing survey instruments. It is particularly effective in homogeneous and uniformly selected groups. This method ensures every participant has an equal opportunity to be part of the study, relying solely on chance for selection. While simple random sampling offers advantages such as impartiality, representativeness, and equal probability for the population, it can be timeconsuming, lacks a publicly available list of participants, and faces challenges with diverse and widely dispersed populations.

Introduction

Selecting a representative sample from a larger population is crucial in scientific research, especially in quantitative investigations. The sampling strategy employed significantly impacts the accuracy and reliability of research outcomes. Simple random sampling (SRS) stands out due to its simplicity and fundamental principles, making it one of the most basic and popular sampling methods.

Population and Sample

In research, the term "population" refers to the entire group of people or objects sharing similar traits and of interest to the researcher. However, due to constraints like time, cost, and accessibility, studying the entire population is often impractical. Therefore, researchers opt to study a sample—a subset of the population. For instance, in a study aiming to understand the dietary habits of university students nationwide, while all students constitute the population, surveying all of them would be unfeasible. Instead, a representative sample is chosen.

Importance of Sampling

The significance of sampling cannot be overstated. Proper sampling ensures that the sample size is adequate for the research objectives. An excessively large sample wastes resources, while a too-small sample lacks statistical power and can yield inaccurate results.

Simple Random Sampling (SRS)

Simple random sampling is a probability sampling technique where each member of the population has an equal chance of being selected. This method is considered the gold standard for ensuring that the sample represents the population accurately, enabling broad and objective application of findings.

The selection process for simple random sampling can utilize various methods such as computer-generated random numbers, random number tables, or lotteries. The key feature of SRS is the equal probability of selection for every member of the population, minimizing selection bias. It is most effective in homogeneous populations where members share similar characteristics, ensuring accurate and reliable results.

However, in heterogeneous populations with diverse characteristics, simple random sampling may not always produce a representative sample. In such cases, stratified sampling—where the population is divided into homogeneous subgroups before sampling—may be more appropriate.



Uncovering The Frequency of Canine Mammary Tumor Prevalence in Veterinary Dias et al Clinical Complex- A Simple Random Sampling Methodpp.**276-279**

Advantages and Disadvantages

Simple random sampling offers several advantages:

- Unbiased Selection: Each person has an equal chance of being selected, ensuring the sample reflects the population fairly.
- Minimization of Confounding Effects: Randomization helps reduce the impact of known and unknown confounding variables, enhancing the study's internal validity.
- Generalizability: Properly conducted SRS allows findings to be applied to the entire population, expanding the scope of research application.

Despite its benefits, simple random sampling has drawbacks:

- Implementation Challenges: It can be challenging to implement, especially with large populations, requiring significant time and resources to compile a comprehensive population list and ensure a truly random selection process.
- Issues with Heterogeneous Populations: SRS may not adequately capture the diversity of attributes in populations with high variability. In such cases, advanced sampling techniques like stratified sampling may be more suitable.
- Sampling Error: Random selection introduces a margin of error between the sample statistic and the actual population parameter, particularly impactful in large, variable populations, potentially compromising result accuracy.

Procedure for Selection

Several approaches can be employed for implementing the selection process in simple random sampling.

- Lottery Method: Assigning a unique number to each population member, writing these numbers on slips of paper, mixing them thoroughly, and then randomly selecting.
- Random Number Tables: Pre-generated lists of random integers used to select sample members by matching with the population list.
- Computer-Based Techniques: Advanced technology uses computer programs to generate random numbers, ensuring an efficient and truly random selection process, even for large populations.

Veterinarytoday_International veterinarytodayinternational@gmail.com VETERINARYTODAY.IN Page-277

Practical Application

Simple random sampling finds extensive application in various disciplines, especially in survey research and quantitative investigations. Examples include:

- Survey Research: Used to gauge beliefs, behaviours, or characteristics of groups, ensuring that chosen respondents accurately represent the population's opinions.
- Health Studies: Utilized in epidemiological research to investigate disease prevalence across different regions, ensuring a representative sample.
- Educational Research: Employed to study various aspects of educational systems, ensuring random selection of schools or students to maintain objectivity
- At the Dept of Veterinary Surgery and radiology, CVSc & AH, Jabalpur, we received a total of around 34 tumour cases during the period of 1st January 2024 to 31st June 2024.
- To calculate the prevalence of mammary tumour cases we took the help of excel and applied random sampling function.

Î	Case					
Date	no	Age	Sex	tumor type		
03-01-						
2024	9941	6yr	F	mammary tumor		
04-01-						
2024	9975	8yr	F	Liphoma		
09-01-						
2024	10085	8yr	F	mammary tumor		
18-01-		10y				
2024	10447	r	F	mammary tumor		
31-01-		11y				
2024	10948	r	F	Tumor on stiffle		
01-02-		11y		thoracic region		
2024	10914	r`	F	tumor		
02-02-				intrabdominal		
2024	10850	4yr	F	testis tumor		
06-02-		13y				
2024	11204	r	F	mammary tumor		
08-02-						
2024	11327	7yr	F	mammary tumor		
08-02-						
2024	11327	8yr	F	mammary tumor		
12-02-		13y				
2024	11413	r	F	Eyelid Mass		
13-02-		10y				
2024	11511	r	Μ	Eyelid Mass		
13-02-						
2024	11438	7yr	М	Eyelid Mass		
28-02-						
2024	12202	8yr	F	mammary tumor		
29-02-	12219	5yr	F	mammary tumor		

Vet. Today |vol. 2|Issue08|August|2024

Uncovering The Frequency of Canine Mammary Tumor Prevalence in Veterinary Clinical Complex- A Simple Random Sampling Methodpp.**276-279**

Dias et al

05-03- 12409 8yr M perinal hernia 06-03- 10 10 10 2024 12444 m F tumor on vetebrae 07-03- 2024 12509 4yr M pelvic tumor 14-03- 11y 9 9 9 9 2024 12645 r F mammary tumor 14-03- 11y 9 9 9 2024 12645 r F mammary tumor 18-03- 12y 9 9 9 9 2024 12841 r F mammary tumor 02-04- 12y 12 100 10 2024 14 2yr F Eyelid Mass 04-04- 12y 1000 1000 1000 12-04- 1000 7 M Eyelid Mass 30-04- 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 30-04- 1020
06-03- 10 r tumor on vetebrae 2024 12444 m F tumor on vetebrae 07-03- - - - 2024 12509 4yr M pelvic tumor 14-03- 11y - - 2024 12645 r F mammary tumor 18-03- 12y - - - 2024 12841 r F mammary tumor 02-04- - - - - 2024 14 2yr F Eyelid Mass 04-04- 12y tumor of thorax - 2024 17389 r F region 12-04- - - - - 2024 415 7yr M Eyelid Mass 30-04- 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 02-05- - - - -
2024 12444 m F tumor on vetebrae 07-03- 1 1 1 1 2024 12509 4yr M pelvic tumor 14-03- 11y M pelvic tumor 2024 12645 r F mammary tumor 18-03- 12y M Mammary tumor 2024 12841 r F mammary tumor 02-04- 12y M Mammary tumor 02-04- F Mammary tumor Mass 04-04- 12y F Eyelid Mass 04-04- 17389 r F magion 12-04- F Mass Mass Mass 30-04- 100 F Eyelid Mass Mass 30-04- 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 30-04- 1020 r Mass Subcutenous tumor
07-03- 2024 12509 4yr M pelvic tumor 14-03- 11y </td
2024 12509 4yr M pelvic tumor 14-03- 11y - - 2024 12645 r F mammary tumor 18-03- 12y - - - 2024 12841 r F mammary tumor 02-04- - - - - 2024 14 2yr F Eyelid Mass 04-04- 12y - tumor of thorax 2024 17389 r F egion 12-04- - - - - 2024 415 7yr M Eyelid Mass 30-04- - - - - 2024 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 30-04- - - - - 2024 1020 r M Eyelid Mass
14-03- 11y n 2024 12645 r F mammary tumor 18-03- 12y n n n 2024 12841 r F mammary tumor 02-04- 12841 r F mammary tumor 02-04- 2024 14 2yr F Eyelid Mass 04-04- 12y tumor of thorax region 12 12-04- 7yr F Eyelid Mass 12 2024 17389 r F region 12 12-04- 100 N Eyelid Mass 10 10 10 2024 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 10
2024 12645 r F mammary tumor 18-03- 12y 2024 12841 r F mammary tumor 02-04- 2024 14 2yr F Eyelid Mass 04-04- 12y F Eyelid Mass 2024 17389 r F region 12-04- 2024 415 7yr M Eyelid Mass 30-04- 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 2024 1020 r M Eyelid Mass
18-03- 12y mammary tumor 2024 12841 r F mammary tumor 02-04- - - - - 2024 14 2yr F Eyelid Mass 04-04- 12y tumor of thorax 2024 17389 r F region 12-04- - - - - 2024 415 7yr M Eyelid Mass 30-04- 10y - - - 2024 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 02-05- - - - -
2024 12841 r F mammary tumor 02-04- -
02-04- 2024 14 2yr F Eyelid Mass 04-04- 12y tumor of thorax 2024 17389 r F region 12-04- r F Eyelid Mass 2024 17389 r F region 12-04- F Eyelid Mass F 2024 415 7yr M Eyelid Mass 30-04- 10y F Eyelid Mass 2024 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 02-05- V Subcutenous tumor
2024 14 2yr F Eyelid Mass 04-04- 12y tumor of thorax 2024 17389 r F region 12-04- r F Eyelid Mass region 2024 415 7yr M Eyelid Mass region 30-04- 10y F Eyelid Mass region region region 2024 1020 r M Eyelid Mass region region
04-04- 12y tumor of thorax 2024 17389 r F region 12-04- - - - - 2024 415 7yr M Eyelid Mass 30-04- 10y - - - 2024 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 02-05- - subcutenous tumor
2024 17389 r F region 12-04- - - - - 2024 415 7yr M Eyelid Mass 30-04- 10y - - 2024 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 02-05- - subcutenous tumor
12-04- A B B B B C <thc< th=""> C <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""></thc<></thc<></thc<>
2024 415 7yr M Eyelid Mass 30-04- 10y - - 2024 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 02-05- - - subcutenous tumor
30-04- 10y Eyelid Mass 2024 1020 r M Eyelid Mass 02-05- subcutenous tumor
20241020rMEyelid Mass02-05-subcutenous tumor
02-05- subcutenous tumor
2024 1148 5vr E of head
09-05- 11y growth excision on
2024 1380 r M forelimb
13-05-
2024 1552 5yr M interdigital tumor
31-05-
2024 3136 8yr F tumor of forelimb
03-06-
2024 3250 8yr M Eyelid Mass
14-06-
2024 3672 8yr F mammary tumor
18-06-
2024 3778 2yr F mammary tumor
20-06-
2024 3859 4yr M oral tumor
25-06-
2024 3513 9yr M subcutenous tumor
21-06- 14y
2024 14024 r F mammary tumor

1

Table no. 1: Total number of tumors excised fromJanuary 2024- June 2024

All tumor surgeries were documented in a table, and an Excel formula was utilized to process the data. The dataset was reorganized to identify the top 15 surgeries as outlined below:

- The surgery data was located in cells E2.
- In cell F2, the formula =RAND() was inputted and extended down to cell F35.
- By utilizing the sorting function in Excel, the data was sorted based on values in column F in ascending order, and the top 15 rows were chosen



			s		
	Case	Α	е		Random
Date	no	ge	x	tumor type	sampling
20-06-		4y			0.029832
2024	59	r	Μ	oral tumor	905
	11				
13-02-		10			0.044987
2024	1	yr	Μ	Eyelid Mass	812
	12				
14-03-	-	11		mammary	0.093328
2024	5	yr	F	tumor	21
	11				
08-02-		7у		mammary	0.125223
2024	7	r	F	tumor	79
	12				
06-03-	44	10		tumor on	0.154664
2024	4	m	F	vetebrae	063
	12				
29-02-	21	5y		mammary	0.156015
2024	9	r	F	tumor	658
	14				
21-08-	02	14		mammary	0.181495
2024	4	yr	F	tumor	181
	12				
28-02-	20	8y		mammary	0.213612
2024	2	r	F	tumor	203
13-05-	15	5y		interdigital	0.219983
2024	52	r	Μ	tumor	408
	17				
04-04-	38	12		tumor of thorax	0.247425
2024	9	yr	F	region	648
09-05-	13	11		growth excision	0.290664
2024	80	yr	Μ	on forelimb	197
	11				
13-02-	43	7y			0.307285
2024	8	r	Μ	Eyelid Mass	64
	12				
18-03-	84	12		mammary	0.368998
2024	1	yr	F	tumor	831
25-06-	35	9у		subcutenous	0.423192
2024	13	r	Μ	tumor	372
02-05-	11	5y		subcutenous	0.451551
2024	48	r	F	tumor of head	337

 Table no 2:
 15 cases after random sampling

Out of a random sample of 15 cases, 6 were found to be mammary tumor cases. Therefore, the prevalence of mammary tumors among the cases received at the Department of Veterinary Surgery is calculated as follows:

Prevalence = $(6 / 15) \times 100 = 40\%$.

This figure shows that during the study period, the prevalence of mammary tumors among the canine tumor patients treated at the Department of Veterinary Surgery and Radiology was 40%. Uncovering The Frequency of Canine Mammary Tumor Prevalence in Veterinary Clinical Complex- A Simple Random Sampling Methodpp.**276-279**

Conclusion

Simple random sampling is a valuable technique obtaining objective for and representative samples from populations. Its simplicity and equal chance of selection make it ideal for certain research contexts, particularly in homogeneous populations. Researchers must, however, carefully consider the limitations of simple random sampling despite its advantages. For diverse and widely distributed populations, alternative sampling techniques like stratified sampling or cluster sampling may be more appropriate to ensure representative samples and reliable research outcomes.

References:

- Acharya, A. S., Prakash, A., Saxena, P., & Nigam,
 A. (2013). Sampling: Why and how of it. *Indian Journal of Medical Specialties*,
 4(2), 330-333. DOI: 10.7713/ijms.2013.0032
- Best, J. W., & Kahn, J. V. (2016). *Research in education*. Pearson Education India.
- Omair, A. (2014). Sample size estimation and sampling techniques for selecting a representative sample. *Journal of Health specialties*, 2(4), 142. DOI: 10.4103/1658-600X.142783
- Singh, S. (2003). Simple random sampling. In *advanced sampling theory with applications* (pp. 71-136). Springer, Dordrecht.

